

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MYERS DAWES ANDRAS & SHERMAN LLP SUITE 1150 19900 MACARTHUR BLVD. IRVINE, CA 92612

COPY MAILED

NOV 2 7 2007

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Randy Burnworth

Application No. 10/665,930 Filed: September 19, 2003

Attorney Docket No. BUR1.PAU.02

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition, filed July 12, 2007, to revive the above-identified application under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b).

A review of the record discloses that the application became abandoned for a failure to reply in a timely manner to the non-final Office action mailed May 15, 2006. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on April 4, 2007. In response, on July 27, 2007, the present petition was filed, as well as an Amendment and a Revocation and Power of Attorney.

The Revocation and Power Attorney filed July 12, 2007 is **not** acceptable, since the Power of Attorney is from an assignee but does not include the certificate required by 37 CFR 3.73(b). It is noted that copies of assignment documents have been provided. However, the submission of the documentary evidence must be accompanied by (1) a statement affirming that the documentary evidence of the chain of title from the original owner to the assignee was or concurrently is being submitted for recordation pursuant to § 3.11; or (2) a statement specifying where documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original owner to the assignee is recorded in the assignment records of the Office (e.g., reel and frame number).

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.34(a), the signature of William A. Munck appearing on the petition shall constitute a representation to the United States Patent and Trademark Office that he is authorized to represent the particular party on whose behalf he acts. A courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to petitioner. However, if Mr. Munck desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney or authorization of agent must be submitted. All future correspondence regarding this application file will be directed solely to the address of record.

37 CFR 1.137(b)(3) requires a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. While it is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the

delay at issue, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

The petition is GRANTED.

The application file is being forwarded to Technology Center AU 2622 for consideration of the amendment filed July 27, 2007.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3204. All other inquiries regarding this application should be directed to the Technology Center.

Sherry D. Brinkley Petitions Examiner Office of Petitions

cc:

WILLIAM A. MUNCK P.O. DRAWER 800889 DALLAS, TX 75380